

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/776,543	02/10/2004		Bruce K. Bitowft	540.62	3118	
27019	7590	09/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THE CLOROX COMPANY 1221 BROADWAY PO BOX 2351				BOECKMAN	BOECKMANN, JASON J	
OAKLAND, CA 94623				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				3752		

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	10/776,543 Examiner	BITOWFT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Evaminer	
	LAGIIIIICI	Art Unit
	Jason J. Boeckmann	3752
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowade closed in accordance with the practice under the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pre	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is obtained.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/10/04. J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5, 10- 14, 16, 21-25, 27, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Foster et al (6,6886718).

Foster et al shows a trigger sprayer (10) for manually dispensing fluid form a container, a housing (58) defined by at least two side walls, a top wall and a bottom wall, which is adapted for supporting the sprayer on a user's hand (figure 1), a pump (14) situated within the housing (58), an inlet passageway (26) for connecting fluid from a container to the pump (14), an outlet passage (22) for allowing exit of fluid from the pump (14), and a trigger (62) operatively connected to the pump (14). The trigger includes a lever (62), pivotally mounted on the housing (58), for engaging the pump (14), having a finger-engaging surface (76), with an upper section, middle section and lower section where the middle section has a width that is shorter than the widths of the upper and lower sections (figure 5). In regards to claims 2, 3, 13, 14, 24 and 25, the finger-engaging surface has a concave shaped contour (62) and at least one gripping surface area (76). In regards to claims 5,10, 16, 22, 27 and 33, the finger-engaging

Art Unit: 3752

surface has an hourglass configuration and at least a portion of the perimeter edge is rounded (figure 5). With respect to claims 10, 21 and 32, the pump (14) includes a pump chamber (14) and the upper section of the lever (62) is operatively attached to a reciprocally slideable piston (52) within the pump chamber (14)(column 4, line 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 4, 15 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster et al (6,886,718) in view of Foster et al (5,762,236).

Foster et al (6,886,718) discloses the applicant's invention as set forth in claims 1 and 3 above, but does not include at least one gripping surface area made form an elastomeric material. However, Foster et al (5,762,236). Shows a trigger (26) that includes at least on gripping area (228, 232) formed from an elastomeric material, which is softer than the rest of the trigger. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 3752

the art at the time of the applicant's invention, to add the elastomeric gripping surface of Foster et al (5,762,236) to the trigger lever of Foster et al (6,886,718) in order to make the lever more comfortable to grip.

Claims 6-9, 17-20 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foster et al (6,886,718).

Foster et al discloses the applicant's invention as set forth in claim 1 above including a hand held trigger sprayer with a finger actuated lever. Given a hand held, finger actuated lever, the dimensions listed appear to be within the ranges of such a device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include a lever of the dimensions listed due the average length and width of the human fingers designed to actuate the lever.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sweeton (6,332,562) shows a trigger sprayer (10) for manually dispensing fluid form a container including a lever. Hengesbach (Des. 282,392) shows an hourglass shaped lever.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason J. Boeckmann whose telephone number is (571) 272-2708. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 5:00 m-f, first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/776,543 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JJB

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700